

**ANIL NEERUKONDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCES**  
**“UGC AUTONOMOUS”**

(Affiliated to Andhra University, Approved by AICTE & Accredited by NBA)

Sangivalasa-531 162, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dt.

**DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

---

**Internal Assessment Evaluation Summary Sheet**

**MARKS DISTRIBUTION –THEORY:**

SNo	Assessment	Max Marks
1	Internal	40
2	External	60
3	Total	100

**MARKS DISTRIBUTION –LAB:**

SNo	Assessment	Max Marks
1	Internal	50
2	External	50
3	Total	100

**INTERNAL ASSESSMENT MARKS DISTRIBUTION-THEORY:**

SNo.	Evaluation Criteria	Max Marks
1	MID Marks	20
2	Attendance	5
3	Average of Assignments	10
4	Pedagogy (Quiz/Seminar/Case Study etc.)	5
5	Total	40

**MID Marks (20) Evaluation:**

Mid Marks=  $\frac{1}{3} * (\text{Minimum of MID-1 or MID-2}) + \frac{2}{3} * (\text{Maximum of MID-1 or MID-2})$



**ANIL NEERUKONDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCES**  
**“UGC AUTONOMOUS”**

(Affiliated to Andhra University, Approved by AICTE & Accredited by NBA)

Sangivalasa-531 162, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dt.

**DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

**INTERNAL ASSESSMENT MARKS DISTRIBUTION-LAB:**

SNo.	Evaluation Criteria	Max Marks
1	Observation	10
2	Attendance	5
3	Record	5
4	Daily Evaluation(PE+VIVA)	10
5	Internal Exam(WP+Exe+Viva)	20
6	Total	50

**Sample Template Evaluation sheet for Lab experiment (25m) using Rubrics :**

SNo	Regd. No.	Ability to format and document code for human consumption (Observation) (10 Pts)	Writing and submission of the record on time. (5 Pts)	Ability to code formulae and algorithms that reliably produce correct answers or appropriate results. (Program Execution) (5 Pts)	Successfully identifies the learning context for the lab (Viva) (5 Pts)	Total (25 Pts)

**Sample Template Consolidated Evaluation sheet (25M) using Rubrics :**

SN	Re gd. No.	Week-1			Week-2			...	Week-10			Avg C-3+C-4 (Weekly Evaluation)		
		C-1 (10)	C-2 (5)	C-3 + C-4 (10)	C-1 (10)	C-2 (5)	C-3 + C-4 (10)	...	C-1 (10)	C-2 (5)	C-3 + C-4 (10)		Avg C- (Observation)	Avg C2 (Record)

**Internal Assessment Lab Sample Template (50m):**

<b>Subject : IT326 MCAD Lab</b>				<b>Examination : B.TECH III/IV -2-SEM</b>			
<b>Branch &amp; Section : IT-B</b>				<b>Month &amp; Year : Jul 2021 Maximum Marks : 50</b>			
<b>Name of the Examiner : G. Ravi</b>							
Roll No	Lab internal exam-20	Daily Evaluation(10)		Observation-10	Record-5	Attendace-5	Total-50
		(PE)-5	Viva-5				

## Information Technology Rubric for Assessment of Grade 9 Project - Review-1

Criteria & Points Assigned	1	2 - 3	4	5	Points Earned
Project Scope	The scope is not stated at all	The scope is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree	The scope is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has not achieved the stated scope.	The scope is stated, suitable with bachelor degree and the project has achieved the stated scope	
Clarity of problem statement	The problem statement is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree	The problem statement is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has not addressed the stated problem statement	The problem statement is stated and suitable with bachelor degree but the project has achieved the only some parts of the stated problem statement	The problem statement is stated, suitable with bachelor degree and the project has addressed the stated problem statement	
Clarity of project goal	The project goal is not stated at all	The project goal is stated but unsuitable with bachelor degree	The project goal is stated and suitable with bachelor degree to some extent	The project goal is stated and suitable with bachelor degree to required extent	
Introduction	The introduction section is too brief and does not contain proper introduction, problem statement, objective and expected result	The introduction section is too brief and only contain some of the introduction, problem statement, objective and expected result	Good introduction and contains minimum background information, problem statement, objective and project output	Good introduction and contains interesting background information, problem statement, objective and project output	

# Information Technology Rubric for Assessment of Graduate Project - I Review-1

	Literature Review	No literature is provided in the dissertation.	Too limited literature and reference is not provided.	Moderate literature and incomplete references	Satisfying literature and complete references.
5					
6	Knowledge about the topic(viva)	Below Average	Average	Above average	good
7	Communication skills. Presentation Evaluation(oral)	Average	Above average	good	excellent

**Informatic Technology Rubric for Assessment of Graduate Project-I Review-2**

Criteria & Points Assigned					Points Earned
1	Literature Review	1	2-3	4	5
	No literature is provided in the dissertation.	Too limited literature and reference is not provided.	Moderate literature and incomplete references.	Satisfying literature and complete references.	
2	Methodology and System Design	Methodology is not suitable, not described properly and no system design	Methodology is suitable but not described properly and no system design	Methodology is suitable, described properly but no system design	Methodology is suitable, described properly and system design is included
3	Creativity and uniqueness of the project	Fully plagiarised	Project is not unique, but modified and improved from the existing source with minimum changes	Project is not unique, but modified and improved from the existing source with satisfying changes	Project is unique, creative and developed by Student
4	Knowledge about the topic(viva)	Below Average	Average	Above average	good
5	Communication skills. Presentation Evaluation(oral)	Average	Above average	good	excellent

*[Handwritten signature]*

(Dr. M. Retha Sundari)  
Associate Professor, IT.

*[Handwritten signature]*

Prof. P. PADMAJA  
head of the Department  
Department of IT  
Visakhapatnam


31/08/2019

## Rubric for Assessment of Graduate Project Review-1

Criteria & Points Assigned	1	2-3	4	5	Points Earned
1 Coding languages	Matlab , Weka	R-Studio		Programming languages	
2 Dataset	Irrelevant	Relevant but not to the extent	Relevant	Suitable to present day applications	
3 Result and Discussion	Result stated briefly without discussion.	Result is explained and brief discussion is provided	Result is explained and moderate discussion is provided	Result is explained and thorough discussion is provided with respect to the problem statement	
4 Graphs and tables	Poor	average	good	Very good	
5 Knowledge about the topic (viva)	Below Average	Above average	good	excellent	
6 Presentation and communication Skills	Average	Above average	good	excellent	

### Information Technology Rubric for Assessment of Graduate Project-2 Review-2

Criteria & Points Assigned					Points Earned
	1	2-3	4	5	
1 Knowledge about the topic(viva)	Below Average	Average	good	excellent	
2 Communication skills Presentation Evaluation(oral)	Average	Above average	good	excellent	
3 Project Output is achieved as described in problem statement within the Given Timeline	NO	Yes with little deviations.	Yes completed in time.	Yes completed before time and added some additional features.	
4 Originality in Writing	Below average	Average	good	Very good	
5 Paper Published	NO	-----	under communication.	Published	

  
 (Dr. M. Retha Sundari)  
 Assoc. Prof. IT.

  
 (HOD, IT)  
 Prof. P. ADMADA  
 Head of the Department  
 ANITS, Visakhapatnam